Thank you for your comments on the application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00413, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2023-00413</u> (ky.gov).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Case No. 2023-00404

Thank you for your comments on the application of KU-LGE. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00404, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2023-00404</u> (ky.gov).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

From: Cassandra Lyons Sent: Monday, April 22, 2024 5:56 PM To: PSC Public Comment <PSC.Comment@ky.gov> Subject: Public Comment in Case #2023-00413



Dear PSC Commissioners,

LG&E-KU Net Metering Public Comment Case #2023-00404

Hello,

I am writing as an LGE-KU customer to thank you for proposing an increase to the compensation rate for rooftop solar, and to ask you also to do away with "instantaneous" or "two-channel" billing, which compensates families at a lower rate for energy that is stored for later rather than used immediately. This undervalues rooftop solar, slowing people's transition to renewable energy and our overall response to the climate crisis. Please pay families fairly for rooftop solar, so we can all get on board and start doing our part that much faster.

Sincerely, Cassandra Lyons

Thank you for your comments on the application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00413, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2023-00413</u> (ky.gov).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Case No. 2023-00404

Thank you for your comments on the application of KU-LGE. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00404, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2023-00404</u> (ky.gov).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

From: Stephen Dutschke Sent: Tuesday, April 23, 2024 2:50 PM To: PSC Public Comment <PSC.Comment@ky.gov> Subject: Public Comment in Case #2023-00413

Dear PSC Commissioners,

LG&E-KU Net Metering Public Comment Case #2023-00404

Rooftop solar helps customers to take control over their electric generation and to lower their power bills. Rooftop solar also lowers "peak demand" for utility companies which is the time during

the day that it is most expensive for them to generate electricity, that means lower costs for the utility company and for us.

Utilities should do everything they can to encourage rooftop solar through continuing to offer strong net metering programs indefinitely.

Sincerely, Stephen Dutschke

Lge

Thank you for your comments on the application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00413, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2023-00413</u> (ky.gov).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Case No. 2023-00404

Thank you for your comments on the application of KU-LGE. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00404, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2023-00404</u> (ky.gov).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

From: "J. Venneman" Sent: Tuesday, April 23, 2024 1:52 PM To: PSC Public Comment <PSC.Comment@ky.gov> Subject: Public Comment in Case #2023-00413

_

Dear PSC Commissioners,

LG&E-KU Net Metering Public Comment Case #2023-00404

As an individual and family committed to making an impact with regard to our extreme weather, the idea of another proposed rate increase on solar is discouraging. The incentive to help our community and the people of the Commonwealth with extreme weather would be tougher to

accomplish as you well know dollars go fast and not too far. With budgets directed toward family needs, it is downright unfair to increase the rate on solar that LG&E/KU receive.

The increase benefits their parent company(ies) and shareholders leaving the consumer without dignity and the ability to make ends meet.

I urge you to oppose any increase in the net solar meeting case.

Sincerely, J. Venneman

Thank you for your comments on the application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00413, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2023-00413</u> (ky.gov).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Case No. 2023-00404

Thank you for your comments on the application of KU-LGE. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00404, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2023-00404</u> (ky.gov).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

From: "Don Pratt Bernie Sanders Fights On: The Rolling Stone Interview

Sent: Tuesday, April 23, 2024 12:02 PM To: PSC Public Comment <PSC.Comment@ky.gov> Subject: Public Comment in Case #2023-00413

Dear PSC Commissioners,

LG&E-KU Net Metering Public Comment Case #2023-00404

I consistantly have seen the utilities buying control of the executive, legislative and executive

branches of government. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH

Sincerely, Don Pratt Bernie Sanders Fights On: The Rolling Stone Interview

customer

Thank you for your comments on the application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00413, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2023-00413</u> (ky.gov).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Case No. 2023-00404

Thank you for your comments on the application of KU-LGE. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00404, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2023-00404</u> (ky.gov).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

From: Amanda Fuller Sent: Monday, April 22, 2024 9:39 PM To: PSC Public Comment <PSC.Comment@ky.gov> Subject: Public Comment in Case #2023-00413

Dear PSC Commissioners,

LG&E-KU Net Metering Public Comment Case #2023-00404

Please deny LGE / KU's request for "instanctanous billing" and re-institute monthly billing so that rooftop solar customers can take full advantage of their system and get compensated fairly for the electricity their systems produce. The impacts of this billing affects the return on investment-

disadvantaging customers as well as solar energy installers who are providing well paying GREEN JOBS in Kentucky. Renewable energy is growing much faster than coal jobs and this is a sector we should be supporting.

Please also increase the solar net metering compensation rate so that solar energy is valued fairly and not artificially lower than market rate. LGE/ KU is seeking to take advantage of rooftop solar customers and manipulating the rates in this way makes it more difficult and slow to recover investments in renewable energy

Sincerely, Amanda Fuller

LGE/KU

Thank you for your comments on the application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00413, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2023-00413</u> (ky.gov).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Case No. 2023-00404

Thank you for your comments on the application of KU-LGE. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00404, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2023-00404</u> (ky.gov).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

From: Ray Barry Sent: Monday, April 22, 2024 8:40 PM To: PSC Public Comment <PSC.Comment@ky.gov> Subject: Public Comment in Case #2023-00413

	_

Dear PSC Commissioners,

LG&E-KU Net Metering Public Comment Case #2023-00404

I have a solar array on my roof, so I am very interested in how I get credited for the energy I deliver to the grid. It is unclear to me why there is such a big difference in what I pay the utility and what they pay me. I pay them 39% more than what they pay me. This would not be so hard to understand if I were not already paying them about \$17 per month for their fixed costs, which I assume takes care of maintaining the grid. So, if the expense of my using the grid is already covered, why is there a 39% difference in the rates? In the summer I deliver to the grid lots more than I use during the peak demand periods of the day. This is green energy and should be worth more than the dirty energy they supply me. I am helping lower the system's carbon output, along with reducing other pollutants. They are getting clean energy from me while I footed the capital expense. I don't think I'm getting the fair value for my investment. Apparently, my generated electricity is of less value than theirs, even though mine avoids all the bad environmental impact. It is interesting that I pay them an extra \$5 per month to source a block of green energy. That tells me green energy must have more value than conventional sources, but they certainly don't bill me that way.

Sincerely, Ray Barry

KU